



Water pollution scenario at river uramurukwa flowing through owerri metropolis, Imo state, Nigeria

Verla Andrew Wirnkor¹, Verla Evelyn Ngozi², Amaobi Collins Emeka³, Enyoh Christian Ebere⁴

^{1, 3, 4} Group Research in Analytical Chemistry, Environment & Climate Change (GRACE&CC), Department of Chemistry, Imo State University, P.M.B.2000, Owerri, Imo, Nigeria

² Department of Environmental Technology, School of Environmental Technology Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Imo, Nigeria

Abstract

Pollution scenario of water from River Uramurukwa was investigated. In both rainy and dry season, 5 water samples each taken from different points were analysed to determine physico-chemical parameters and heavy metals (using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer). The investigated physico-chemical parameters for both dry and rainy season respectively ranges from: temperature (32-32.4°C, 29-29.8°C), electrical conductivity (15.67-2.00 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$, 7.31-61 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$), pH (5.2-5.7, 5.9-6.7), total dissolved solids (2.67-3.98 mg/L, 3.29-5.33 mg/L) and TSS (4.43-6.64 mg/L, 4.88-5.84 mg/L). The analysed major ions were: sodium (Na^+)(1.30-1.67 mg/L, 1.76-2.38 mg/L), potassium (K^+)(0.819-0.898 mg/L, 0.08-1.89 mg/L), magnesium (Mg^{2+})(1.13-2.78 mg/L, 1.23-2.86 mg/L), calcium (Ca^{2+})(22.92-24.6 mg/L, 13.9-43.9 mg/L), nitrate (NO_3^-)(0.91-0.96 mg/L, 0.56-0.97 mg/L), phosphate (PO_4^{3-}) (0.34-1.65 mg/L, 1.07-2.17 mg/L) and sulphate (SO_4^{2-})(23.4-24.8 mg/L, 21.02-29.18 mg/L). The investigated heavy metals were: lead (Pb), zinc (Zn)(1.2-2.63 mg/L, 1.60-3.33 mg/L), copper (Cu)(0.13-0.79 mg/L, 0.001-0.61 mg/L), iron (Fe)(0.091-0.19 mg/L, 0.017-1.97 mg/L), cadmium (Cd) (0.002-0.180 mg/L, 0.002-0.025 mg/L), manganese (Mn)(0.08-1.02 mg/L, 0.008-0.091 mg/L). Temperature, Ec, DO, TDS and TSS were found to compile with WHO guidelines for domestic drinking water except for pH. Cu, Mn, Fe, in the water samples were all within the recommended guidelines of FEPA and WHO for domestic water use. High concentrations of Cd, Mn and Fe were observed at point 2 while all points for Zn and Pb exhibited high concentration. Water quality Index showed the area is unpolluted and safe for use. No ecological risk was observed except for Cd and Pb. PLI was all within recommended limit except for point 2 during the dry season. It should be observed that the River is polluted with Cd and Pb, this are highly toxic metals which can cause serious health damages even at low concentration.

Keywords: contamination, heavy metal, pollution, river

1. Introduction

Surface water bodies are the most important source of water for human activities and are been threatened as a result of development activities. The importance of water to animal and plant cannot be over emphasized ^[1]. It is needed for both drinking and growth of agricultural produce which sustains life on the earth ^[2]. Unplanned urbanization and population growth are among some of the anthropogenic activities responsible for pollution of water bodies. The easy accessibility of the river for waste disposal and industrial wastes has made them very susceptible to pollution, especially by anthropogenic activities ^[3].

Amongst all water pollutant, heavy metal is one of major concern. They are group of contaminant long detected as a threat to human and aquatic lives even at trace concentration. They are known for their toxicity, persistent and bio-accumulating nature in living tissues ^[4-6].

Several studies on pollution and quality assessment of rivers and lakes from different nations have been carried out but none specifically involving water at segment of Uramurukwa river. The pollution statuses of ground water supply in some part of Imo river basin were studied. Result revealed that the level of pollution in Owerri is relatively high ^[7]. The quality of surface water from Owerri municipal with samples

collected from Otamiri and Nworie river was investigated ^[10], result when compared to the WHO guidelines for drinking water revealed that the two surface water analyzed were unsafe for drinking and requires appropriate treatment before human consumption. Heavy metal and bio load levels of Otamiri river was investigated ^[9]. Result revealed that the heavy metal concentration were low except for Fe at upstream 1. Microbial level of the river was alarming and therefore requires further purification before usage.

The present study therefore aims at investigating the pollution status of water at segment of Uramurukwa river flowing through Owerri Metropolis using various quality assessment models like WQI, Cf, PQI and Er for better data interpretation and to properly deduces the pollution status of the river so as to know if any steps are to be taken during consumption and use of the water from the river.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study area

This study was carried out in Owerri, Imo State from January to August, 2016. Owerri is in the tropical rainforest zone and has two distinct season; the wet and the dry seasons. The wet season starts from April through October with peak occurrence between June and September while dry season

commences in November through March annually. It has annual rainfall of 2,250mm-2,500mm with the relative humidity of about 70-80% and mean temperature of 27°C. The inhabitants are predominantly Igbos and they are Christians with very few traditionalists and other religion. Their major occupation is farming with few traders and

artisans. The farming activities have important bearing on the ecology of the area. Owerri North has a projected population of 176,334 [11]. As a result of the recent growth in population, the existing social amenities including water supply sources, sewage are being dumped near the river.




Fig 1: Map of Owerri North showing River Uramurukwa

2.2 Sample collection

Ten water samples were randomly collected from different points in Uramurukwa River. Sampling was carried out for both rainy and dry season and indicated as URW and UDW respectively. 500mL polyethylene sample containers were used to collect water samples from the River. In the field, the containers were rinsed three times with water at the sampling point before collection. pH, TDS, TSS and conductivity of the river water were determined *in situ*. The samples were later stored at 4 °C in a refrigerator awaiting further analysis. Samples for total metal concentrations were acidified with concentrated nitric acid and determined for heavy metal concentration using Perkins Elmer AAnalyst 400 Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer according to [15].

2.3 Determination of physicochemical parameters of water

Some parameters such as water quality tests which include dissolved oxygen (DO), alkalinity, conductivity, pH and temperature (oC), of these water bodies were measured on

site. Water temperature were examined by thermometer (modal LCD portable digital multistem of (-50°C to 150°C). Electric conductivity was measured by Systronic' direct reading conductivity meter (308). Depth of visibility was analyzed by Secchi disc, pH through digital pH meter (HANNA pH ep), and total dissolved solid (TDS) was measured by digital (Hold) TDS meter. DO was measured according to [12]. Sodium, Potassium, Calcium, Magnesium, Sulphate, Nitrate, total alkalinity and phosphate was done by APHA method [13].

2.4 Determination of heavy metals

The concentrations of Mn Pb, Cd, Fe, Zn and Cu in the respective water samples were determined using Perkins Elmer AAnalyst 400 Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer according to [14-15].

2.5 Data analysis

The data were calculated for their mean and standard

deviation. Pollution assessment models such as contamination factor, pollution load index and ecological risk factor were used to assess the level of contamination of the river. WQI was used to assess the quality of the water for drinking purposes.

2.6 Chemometric analysis

2.6.1 Contamination assessment factor: The contamination factor was used to determine the level of individual metal contamination in the water samples. Contamination factor was calculated with the formula below.

$$Cf = \frac{C_{metal}}{C_{background}} \quad 1$$

Where C_f represent contamination factor, C_{metal} represent the concentration of heavy metal and $C_{background}$ represent the background value of metal. WHO [16] guidelines for safe drinking water were taken as the background values for water sample.

2.6.2 Pollution load index (PLI): The proposed pollution load index by Tomlinson [37] for detecting pollution levels in soil was applied to the water samples to detect the level of pollution of heavy metal in the various points. The PLI is able to estimate the metal concentration status and give an idea of the various actions that can be taken to curb the issue [17, 1]. Researchers have estimated the pollution load index using equation 2.

$$PLI = \sqrt[n]{C_{f1} \times C_{f2} \times C_{f3} \times \dots \times C_{fn}} \quad 2$$

A PLI value of ≥ 100 indicates an immediate intervention to ameliorate pollution; a PLI value of ≥ 50 indicates a more detailed study is needed to monitor the site, whilst a value of < 50 indicates that drastic rectification measures are not needed.

2.6.3 Ecological risk factor

Ecological risk factor according to Hakanson [18] and Ibe [19] was applied and was calculated according to equation 3

$$E = T_r \times P_i \text{ and } P_i = \frac{C_m}{C_o} \quad 3$$

Where P_i is the single metal pollution index, C_m is the concentration of metal in the sample, C_o is the reference value of metal. The reference value of metal is taken from the WHO, [16] standard for safe drinking water. The toxic response factors for Pb, Cd, Cr, Cu, Zn and Ni and Mn were 5, 30, 2, 5, 1, 5 and 1, respectively [18, 20].

2.6.4 Water quality index (WQI)

Nine parameters were used in calculating the water quality index. WQI is a numerical expression used to transform large number of variable data into a single number, which represent the water quality level [1, 21]. The WQI is derived from the following formula according to Naruka [22] and Enyoh [1].

$$W_i = \frac{K}{S_i} \quad 4$$

Where: W_i - Unit weight of chemical factor, K - Constant of proportionality, S_i - standard value of i th parameter ($i= 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, 10$)

The water quality rating ‘ q_i ’ expresses the relative value of the parameter in the polluted water with respect to its standard or permissible value. Water quality rating may be derived according to [22].

$$q_i = 100 \left[\frac{V_i - V_{io}}{S_i - V_{io}} \right] \quad 5$$

Where: V : average of measured values season wise, S_i : standard value i th of parameter, V_{io} - ideal value for pure water and it’s 0 for all parameters except pH and DO. The above equation becomes:

$$q_i = 100 \left(\frac{V_i}{S_i} \right) \quad 6$$

The following exceptions occur for dissolved oxygen (D.O) and pH were the Ideal value is given as 14.6 mg/l; and 7.0.mg/l respectively. WQI was calculated according to [1, 22]. The overall WQI is calculated as:

$$WQI = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N q_i W_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N W_i} \quad 7$$

The standard values were obtained from World Health Organization (WHO) standard for safe drinking water, 2003. The following indicates the classification of Water Quality Index (WQI) and the quality of water WQI of 0-25: Excellent water quality, 26-50: Good water quality; 51-75: Poor/moderately polluted water quality; 76-100: Very poor water quality; 101 and above: Unsuitable for drinking purpose [23].

3. Result and Discussion

The temperature of water depends on its intended use. Temperature of drinking water, according to WHO standard ranges between 20-30°C [16]. Temperature level observed for both season where found to be within the WHO standard. Though the dry season exhibited a slight increase in temperature, this might be due to the present weather condition of the environment at the point of study.

The pH value in the present study season wise varied from 5.2-5.7 during the dry season and 5.88-6.7 during the rainy season. Both season exhibited a slightly alkaline pH which wasn’t in agreement to the standard pH (6.0-8.5) according to WHO guidelines for safe drinking water. The low pH observed during the dry season might be due to the death and decay of some aquatic life forms which releases proteins in form of ammonia. The formed ammonia dissolves in water, drastically affecting the pH and manifesting as low pH [24, 25]. Low pH value has a tendency to increase the toxicity of most metals. Similar study was observed for Nworie River [10].

The electrical conductivity is a measure of total dissolve salts [38]. The EC can greatly affect the taste of water and the acceptance of the water as portable [16, 26]. The EC for both seasons in the present study ranges from 15.67 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ to 61 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$. These values were found to be within the WHO standard for safe drinking water.

Dissolve oxygen, is the oxygen present in dissolve form in water bodies. Its reduction is greatly affected by runoff from agricultural soils containing phosphate and nitrogen compounds or the death and decay of aquatic life forms leading to the release of nitrogen compound into water body therefore causing decrease in dissolve oxygen. According to WHO standard, the dissolve oxygen for all points during the dry season were found to be low while dissolve oxygen during the rainy season were found to be slightly above standard. This low DO observed during the dry season can be seen to have a relationship with low pH value. This is an indication that both parameters have and are affected by similar

anthropogenic activities. Similar findings were observed for Nworie river with dissolve oxygen been lower than WHO standard [10].

TDS and TSS is an indication of materials carried in suspension and solid respectively [27]. In the present study, TDS and TSS for both season ranges from 2.67 – 5.33 and 4.43 - 6.64 respectively. These values were found to be lower than the WHO standard for safe drinking water. This is an indication of reduce pollution from runoff of soils around the area.

One of the most stable forms of nitrogen is nitrate. It is the final product during the biochemical oxidation of ammonia and it plays a very significant role in the process of eutrophication [28]. High concentration of nitrate is toxic to water body [29]. Nitrate in the present study for both seasons were all below standard when compared to the WHO standard for safe drinking water.

Table 1: Physicochemical parameters of water from Uramurukwa in the dry and rainy season

Water Properties	UDW1	UDW2	UDW3	UDW4	UDW5	URW1	URW2	URW3	URW4	URW5	MEAN \pm SDV	WHO 2003/2004
Temp (oC)	31	32	32	32.4	32	29	29.5	28.2	29	29.8	30.49 \pm 1.55	20 – 30
Ph	5.7	5.5	5.7	5.5	5.2	6.2	6.7	6.2	5.88	6.6	5.92 \pm 0.49	6.5- 8.5
EC ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$)	15.67	27	25	22	27	61	36	36	31	37	31.77 \pm 12.3	100
DO (mg/kg)	2.78	2.98	2.85	3.89	2.27	6.86	6.48	5.52	4.12	6.97	4.47 \pm 1.82	>5
TDS (mg/L)	3.94	3.84	3.78	2.67	3.98	4.34	4.77	3.29	5.33	4.32	4.03 \pm 0.73	250
TSS (mg/L)	4.74	6.64	4.74	4.43	4.44	5.74	5.23	4.98	5.84	4.88	5.17 \pm 0.70	50
Na ⁺ (mg/L)	1.33	1.673	1.37	1.30	1.37	2.33	2.38	1.87	1.76	1.83	1.72 \pm 0.39	200
K ⁺ (mg/L)	0.89	0.791	0.898	0.189	0.819	1.89	0.18	1.29	0.192	0.08	0.72 \pm 0.58	-
Mg ⁺⁺ (mg/L)	2.23	2.78	2.27	2.22	1.13	2.43	3.21	1.23	2.20	2.86	2.26 \pm 0.65	-
Ca ⁺⁺ (mg/L)	23.2	24.6	23.8	23.5	22.92	13.9	43.9	27.2	38.8	23.90	26.57 \pm 8.58	-
Cu (mg/L)	0.13	0.79	0.53	0.15	0.13	0.61	0.21	0.001	0.001	0.013	0.26 \pm 0.28	2
Cd (mg/L)	0.002	0.180	0.072	0.006	0.012	0.025	0.022	0.002	0.002	0.012	0.03 \pm 0.05	0.003
Mn (mg/L)	0.08	1.02	0.78	0.085	0.089	0.017	0.078	0.091	0.008	0.008	0.23 \pm 0.36	0.4
Zn (mg/L)	2.6	1.2	2.21	2.61	2.63	3.33	2.69	3.62	1.60	2.68	2.51 \pm 0.71	1.0
Fe (mg/L)	0.097	0.19	0.191	0.097	0.091	1.097	1.097	0.017	1.97	0.974	0.58 \pm 0.66	0.3
Pb (mg/L)	0.127	0.178	0.521	0.123	0.127	2.31	2.06	0.117	1.07	0.147	0.67 \pm 0.85	0.01
Nitrate(mg/L)	0.96	0.91	0.92	0.91	0.916	0.96	0.95	0.56	0.79	0.97	0.88 \pm 0.12	50
Sulphate(mg/L)	24.8	23.4	24.8	23.98	24.8	24.8	28.25	22.21	21.02	29.18	24.72 \pm 2.46	100
Phoshate(mg/L)	0.34	1.65	1.36	1.34	1.37	1.3	2.17	1.87	1.071	1.21	1.37 \pm 0.48	5

Sulphate values observed in the present study seasonwise were all below WHO standard for drinking water and domestic water use. Similar findings were observed in sulphate values obtained from study carried out on Nworie river [10]. The presence of sulfate in drinking-water can cause noticeable taste, and very high levels might cause a laxative effect in unaccustomed consumers [16].

One of the key nutrients responsible for the fertility of fish ponds is phosphorous [22]. Phosphate at moderate amount is suitable for the growth of plankton [29]. Though maximum phosphate was observed at point 2 during the rainy season, all point showed phosphate levels below WHO standard in the present study. Similar findings were observed in study carried out by Naruka [22].

Copper is it an essential nutrient but also a drinking-water contaminant. Copper concentrations in drinking-water vary widely, with the primary source being the corrosion of interior copper plumbing [16]. Cu concentration for both season in the present study were all below WHO standard for drinking

water and domestic uses. Flowing river tends to exhibit low level of copper [16]. This might be the cause of the low level of copper observed during the present study. Similar findings were observed in a study carried out on Mvudi River, South Africa [30].

Cd ranges from 0.002-0.180 and 0.002-0.025 mg/l during the dry and rainy season respectively. Point 1 during the dry season and point 3 and 4 during the rainy season exhibited values below WHO standard. But all other points, showed values higher than stated standard with the maximum value occurring during the dry season (0.180). This might be due to the deposition of used batteries in water bodies. Cd, been a non-essential element is highly toxic to marine and fresh water aquatic life [30].

Mn ranges from 0.08-1.02 and 0.008-0.091 during the dry and rainy season respectively. According to WHO standard value for Mn, All points season wise showed low level of Mn except for point 2 which had the maximum level of Mn. Both Cd and Mn exhibited maximum values at point 2, which might

indicate deposition of used batteries into water body as Cd and Mn are found in batteries. At high concentration, Mn can constitute a nuisance with a characteristic metallic taste and staining properties [31]. Neurotoxicity has been implicated for adults over 50 years who drink Mn-rich water [32].

The minimum and maximum levels of Zn observed during dry and rainy season in the present study were 2.63 and 3.62mg/l respectively. At a taste threshold concentration of about 4mg/L, Zinc imparts an undesirable astringent taste to water [33]. According to FEPA standard, all points showed high values of Zn concentration.

The maximum and minimum values of Fe observed during the dry and rainy season were 0.19, 1.097 mg/l respectively. Values obtained for Fe were all within standard as stated by WHO except for point 1 and 2 which showed values exceeding standard. Iron is one of the most abundant metals in the Earth's crust. It is found in natural fresh waters at levels ranging from 0.5 to 50 mg/litre. Iron may also be present in drinking-water as a result of the use of iron coagulants or the corrosion of steel and cast iron pipes during water distribution

[16]. This high level of Fe observed during the rainy season might be due to the fact that most mineral deposit on the soil may have high level of iron, therefore runoff from deposit may contaminate the water.

Pb values obtained for both seasons were all above WHO standard for safe drinking water. Contamination of lead in river may be as a result of the dissolution of lead from the soil and earth crust. Pb is both a toxic and non-essential metal having no nutritional value to living organisms. No amount of Pb is considered safe in drinking water. Similar study was observed in a research carried out on Mvudi River, South Africa [30].

3.1 Contamination factor

The contamination factors were categorized according to Mathias [34]. Values < 1 are low contamination, $1 \leq CF < 3$ are moderately contaminated, $3 \leq CF \leq 6$ are considerably contaminated and ≥ 6 very highly contaminated. Contamination factor of both dry and rainy season for all metals were evaluated.




Fig 2: Contamination factor of heavy metals at different point during the dry and rainy season

Low contamination factor was exhibited by both Cu and Fe during the dry season whereas Cu and Mn exhibited low contamination factor during the rainy season. Cd at point one showed low contamination factor during the dry season, point UD2 and UD3 were very highly contaminated and point 4 and 5 were moderately and considerably contaminated respectively. During the rainy season, Cd at point 1 and 2 were very highly contaminated, point 3 and 4 had low contamination and point 5 was considerably contaminated. Low contamination was exhibited by Mn at point 1,4 and 5 while point 2 and 3 were moderately contaminated during the dry season. All points for Mn during the rainy season showed low contamination. Zn for all point during the dry season exhibited a moderately contamination factor whereas during the rainy season, moderate contamination was shown at point 2,4 and 5 while point 1 and 3 were considerably contaminated. All point for both season for Pb were very highly contaminated. In fig 1, Cd and Pb were conspicuous during the dry season while in fig 2, Pb was conspicuous during the rainy season. Leaching of soils close to busy highways into

water bodies is one probable source of lead pollution in the lake or river [21, 36, 39].

3.2 Pollution Load Index (PLI)

All point during the dry season exhibited low pollution load index (< 50), except for point 2 and 3 showing $PLI > 100$. This is an indication that immediate intervention to ameliorate pollution is needed. While during the rainy season, the PLI values were all within accepted limit.

3.3 Ecological risk factor

The computed ecological risk factor of Uramurukwa river for both seasons is presented in table 2. The ecological risk factor is describe using the following terminologies $Eri < 40$, low potential ecological risk, $40 \leq Eri < 80$, moderate potential ecological risk, $80 \leq Eri < 160$, considerable potential ecological risk, $160 \leq Eri < 320$, high potential ecological risk, $Eri \geq 320$, very high ecological risk [19, 34]. All metal with exception to Cd and Pb pose no ecological risk to the environment for both seasons.

Table 2: Computed ecological risk factor of Uramurukwa River for both seasons

Points	Dry Season					Rainy Season				
	Cu	Cd	Mn	Zn	Pb	Cu	Cd	Mn	Zn	Pb
1	0.325	20.01	0.2	2.6	63.5	1.525	249.99	0.043	3.33	1155
2	1.975	1800	2.53	1.2	89	0.525	219.99	0.195	2.69	1030
3	1.325	720	1.95	2.21	260.5	0.0025	20.01	0.228	3.62	58.5
4	0.375	60	0.213	2.61	61.5	0.0025	20.01	0.02	1.60	535
5	0.325	120	0.223	2.63	63.5	0.0325	120	0.02	2.68	73.5
$\sum Eri$	4.325	2720.01	5.116	11.25	538	2.088	630	0.506	13.920	2852

3.4 Water quality index

The WQI is a single mathematical equation that incorporates data from various water quality parameters thereby expressing the health of a water body with numbers [2]. WQI for Uramurukwa river at different sampling points during the dry season and rainy season are presented in table 3. The estimated WQI in the present study were found to be categorized under good water quality. Similar findings were observed by Abdul [35], where the WQI of Dokan Lake were found to be within good water quality to poor water quality from 2000 to 2009 with values 53.18 to 101.26 respectively. Due to the foregoing observation of the physiochemical parameter, it can be said that the water exhibit low level of eutrophication, owing to the high level of DO and low level of nitrate and phosphate. It could also be concluded that the water is good for domestic use due to the low level of sulphate. The general physiochemical parameter of the water is therefore in line with the low value of WQI observed and the characterization of the water as good.

Table 3: WQI for Uramurukwa River at different sampling points during the dry season and rainy season

Sampling points	Values of WQI		Category of WQI
	Dry season	Rainy season	
1	32.28	31.51	Good
2	55.23	44.54	Good
3	39.00	39.10	Good
4	49.98	34.69	Good
5	34.31	35.42	Good

4. Conclusion

The investigated water sample from Uramurukwa river using various quality assessment models showed that the water is majorly polluted with Cd and Pb. Water quality parameters of water from Uramurukwa river according to the stated category used for classification were found to be of 'good quality'. Results obtained for ecological risk factor showed that all points had low ecological risk in terms of all metals except for Cd and Pb. The present study therefore urge that necessary steps should be taken to reduce or prevent the contamination of the water from Pb and Cd. If not taken, water from Uramurukwa river is unsuitable for drinking. Despite the good quality of the water based on WQI which is in accordance to the physiochemical parameters observed, the water was found to be contaminated with heavy metals with the most conspicuous being Pd and Cd. It is for this reason I recommend further research on the heavy metal content of Uramurukwa river so as to avoid serious health risk involve during consumption.

5. References

1. Enyoh CE, Verla AW, Egejuru NJ. pH Variations and Chemometric Assessment of Borehole Water in Orji, Owerri Imo State, Nigeria. *J Environ Anal Chem.* 2018; 5(238):1-9.
2. Yogendra K, Puttaiah ET. Determination of water quality index and suitability of an urban waterbody in Shimoga town, Karnataka, the 12th world lake conference, 2008, 342-346.
3. Samarghandi M, Nouri J, Mesdaghinia AR, Mahvi AH, Nasser S, Vaezi, F. Efficiency Removal of Phenol, Lead and Cadmium by Means of UV/TiO₂/H₂O₂ Processes. *Int. J Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2007; (4):19-26.
4. Song M, Chu S, Letcher RJ, Seth R. Fate, partitioning, and mass loading of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) during the treatment processing of municipal sewage. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2006; 40:6241-6246.
5. Vergas VMF, Migliavacca SB, De Melo AC, Horn RC, Guidobono RR, De Sa Ferreira ICF, *et al.* Genotoxicity assessment in aquatic environments under the influence of heavy metals and organic contaminants. *Mutat. Res.* 2001; 490:141-158.
6. Duruibe JO, Ogwuegbu MOC, Ekwurugwu JN. Heavy metal pollution and human biotoxic effects. *Int. J Phys. Sci.* 2007; 2:112-118.
7. Ijeh Boniface Ikechukwu1, Onu Nathaniel Nze. Assessment of pollution levels of groundwater in parts of Imo River Basin, South Eastern Nigeria, *International Journal of Water Resources and Environmental Engineering.* 2013; 5(4):194-202.
8. Okoro BC, Uzoukwu RA, Ademe CK. Investigation of surface water quality in Owerri municipal, Imo State, Nigeria for human consumption, *arj Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences,* 2016, 11(13).
9. Duru, Majesty KC, Nwanekwu, Kenneth E, Adindu, Eze A, *et al.* Heavy metal and bioload levels of Otamiri river, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria, *Archives of Applied Science Research.* 2012; 4(2):1002-1006.
10. Duru, Majesty, Nwanekwu, Kenneth. Physicochemical and microbial status of Nworie River, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria, *Asian Journal of Plant Science and Research.* 2012; 2(4):433-436.
11. Census. Available from, 2006. <http://nigeria.opendataforafrica.org/xspplpb/nigeria-census>. Assessed 20/10/2017.
12. Ellis MM, Westfall BA, Ellis MO. Determination of water quality Fish and flora of surface and ground water. *J plant Sci.* 1948; 6:453-438.
13. APHA. Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water. American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C. (17th Ed.), 1989, 1452.

14. Apakama N Chukwuemeka, Verla E Ngozi, Ihenetu S Chukwuemeka, Verla A Wirnkor. Physicochemical properties and selected metals in soils of Ohaji-Egbema, Imo State, Nigeria, *WNOFNS*. 2017; 10:39-48.
15. Enyoh CE, Ihionu EA, Verla AW, Ebosie NP. Physicochemical Properties of Palm Oil and Soil from Ihube Community, Okigwe, Imo State, Nigeria. *International Letters of Natural Science*. 2017; 62(35):49.
16. World Health Organization (WHO), Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality, 3rd Edition, World Health Organization (WHO), Geneva, 2004.
17. Angula E. The Tomlinson Pollution Index applied to heavy metal, Mussel-Watch data: A useful index to assess coastal pollution. *Sci. Total Environ*. 1996; 187:19-56.
18. Hakanson. an ecological risk index for aquatic pollution control, a sedimentological approach, *water res.* 1980; 14:975-1001.
19. Ibe FC, Isiukwu BO, Enyoh CE. Trace metals analysis of soil and edible plant leaves from abandoned municipal waste dumpsite in Owerri, Imo state, Nigeria. *World News of Natural Sciences (WNOFNS)*. 2017; 13:27-42.
20. Xu ZQ, Ni SJ, Tuo XG. Calculation of heavy metals toxicity coefficient in the evaluation of potential ecological risk index. *Environ Sci Tech*. 2008; 31:112-5.
21. Bordalo AA, Teixeira R, Wiebe WJ. A water quality index applied to an international shared river basin: the case of Douro River. *Environ. Manage.* 2006; 38(6):910-920.
22. Naruka, Sheetal, Sharma Madhu Sudan. Water quality assessment of Rajsamand Lake, Rajasthan, India, *International Research Journal of environmental science*. 2017; 6(6):22-28.
23. Chatterjee C, Raziuddin M. Determination of Water Quality Index (WQI) of a degraded river in Asansol industrial area (West Bengal). *Nature, Environ. and Poll. Tech*. 2002; 1(2):181-189.
24. Akubugwo EI, Duru MKC. Human activities and water quality; a case study of Otamiri River, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria. *Global Research Journal of Science*. 2011; 1:48-53.
25. Akaninwor JO, Egwim O. Effect of indo food (indomie) industrial effluent discharge on physicochemical properties of new Calababar river in Choba River State. *Journal of Nigerian Environmental Society*. 2006; 3(3):174-182.
26. Pradeep JK. Hydrogeology and Quality of Ground Water around Hirapur, District Sagar (M.P.), *Pollution Research*. 1998; 17(1):91-94.
27. Njoku Tony RF, Ebe TE, Ihejirika CE, Ejiogu CC, Uyo CN. Assessment of Physicochemical and Microbial Load of Nworie River Owerri, Imo State, South-Eastern Nigeria. *Journal of Mobile Computing & Application*, 2016, 29-37.
28. Sharma V, Verma BK, Sharma MS. Zooplanktonic and fish fauna of lake Pichhola in relation to its trophic status. *J Environ. Sci. Comp. Sci. and Eng. And Tech*. 2012; 1(3):301-310.
29. Sharma V, Sharma R, Malera H, Sharma MS. Zooplanktonic diversity and trophic status of Mahi dam is relation of physico-chemical characteristic of its water. *Poll. Res.* 2009; 28(4):571-576.
30. Joshua N Edokpayi, John O Odiyo, Oluwaseun E Popoola, Titus AM Msagati. Assessment of Trace Metals Contamination of Surface Water and Sediment: A Case Study of Mvudi River, South Africa, *Sustainability*. 2016; 8:135.
31. Ljung K, Vahter M, Time to Re-evaluate the Guideline Value for Manganese in Drinking Water? *Environ. Health Perspect*. 2007; 115:1533-1538.
32. Kondakis XG, Makris N, Leotsinidis M, Prinou M, Papapetropoulos T. Possible health effects of high manganese concentration in drinking water. *Arch. Environ. Health*. 1989; 44:75-178.
33. Casimir E Gimba, George I Ndukwe, Elaoyi D Paul, James D Habila, Lamis A Madaki, Heavy Metals (Cd, Cu, Fe, Mn and Zn,) Assessment of Groundwater, In Kaltungo LGA, Gombe State, Nigeria, *International Journal of Science and Technology*, 2015, 4(2).
34. Mathias O Nweke, Stephen N Ukpai. Use of Enrichment, Ecological Risk and Contamination Factors with Geoaccumulation Indexes to Evaluate Heavy Metal Contents in the Soils around Ameka Mining Area, South of Abakaliki, Nigeria. *Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International*. 2016; 5(4):1-13.
35. Abdul Hameed M, Jawad Alobaidy, Haider S Abid, Bahram K Maulood. Application of Water Quality Index for Assessment of Dokan Lake Ecosystem, Kurdistan Region, Iraq, *Journal of Water Resource and Protection*. 2010; 2:792-798.
36. Ahmad JU, Goni MA. Heavy metal contamination in water, soil, and vegetables of the industrial areas in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 2010; 166:347-357.
37. Tomlinson DL, Wilson JG, Harris CR, Jeffrey DW. Problems in the assessment of heavy metal levels in estuaries and the formation of a pollution index. *Helgolaender Meeresunter*. 1980; 33:566-575.
38. Verla EN, Verla AW, Enyoh CE. Pollution Assessment Models of Soils In Portharcourt City, Rivers State, Nigeria. *World News of Natural Sciences (WNOFNS)*. 2017; 12:1-23.
39. Verla AW, Nwimo LO, Verla EN, Enyoh CE. Effect of Soil Quality on Free Fatty Acid Content of Palm Kernel Oil from Mbaise, Imo State Nigeria. *Proceedings of the First Conference of Faculty of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, Held at IMSU Owerri, 4TH-6TH*. 2017, 407-420.